

Frequently Asked Questions

State / Federal Project No.: CFHWY00130 / 0A33026
FAQ Last Updated February 2026



CONTENTS: (click links below to jump to specific sections)

1. GENERAL QUESTIONS
2. PROJECT SCHEDULE AND FUNDING
3. DESIGN QUESTIONS
4. IMPACTS TO BUSINESSES, NEIGHBORING PROPERTIES, AND RESIDENTS
5. CONSTRUCTION (*New Section added February 2026*)
6. PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATION

1. GENERAL QUESTIONS

Q: What is the purpose of this project?

A: The Sterling Safety Corridor Improvements Milepost (MP) 82.5 to 94 project is a federally funded project to reconstruct the Sterling Highway between Sterling and Soldotna to improve safety and reduce congestion. The goals of this project are to:

- Provide a safe and reliable roadway that supports the efficient movement of goods, services, and people
- Reduce the number of fatal and serious injury crashes (e.g., head-on crashes)
- Allow for decommissioning of the Traffic Safety Corridor by constructing a safer roadway that is less reliant on institutional and enforcement measures to abate crashes
- Better accommodate the seasonal increase of tourist and recreational traffic
- Minimize inconvenience to motorists and impacts to private property access

Q: Why is this project needed?

A: Due to elevated crash rates, this section of the Sterling Highway was designated as a 'Traffic Safety Corridor' in 2009, which enacted educational and enforcement controls and mobilized resources aimed at improving safety (including a double-fine zone) until more permanent engineering measures could be implemented. Since being designated a safety corridor, some safety metrics have improved, but fatal and major injury crash rates remain well above national averages with nearly half of these resulting from head-on collisions.

Frequently Asked Questions

State / Federal Project No.: CFHWY00130 / 0A33026
FAQ Last Updated February 2026



In addition, traffic volumes have increased over 400% since the 1970s. Traffic volumes already exceed the current two-lane roadway's capacity during much of the summer season, and traffic volumes are expected to increase another 30% over the next 30 years. Summer average daily traffic volumes are more than double winter average daily traffic volumes. During the summer season the road is congested and passing and turning movements are difficult, which leads to elevated crashes from driver frustration. During the winter months, traffic flows more freely, but lack of street lighting, wildlife on the road, and slippery conditions lead to much higher rates of fatal and major injury crashes and animal-vehicle collisions compared to the summer months.

This project is necessary to address both the safety and capacity deficiencies of the current highway configuration.

Q: What is a 'Progressive Design-Build' project? What are the benefits?

A: Unlike the traditional step-by-step 'design-bid-build' project delivery model where the design is completed, the project is advertised for contractor bids, and then the low bidder is awarded the construction, in a 'Progressive Design-Build' (PDB) model the contractor and design consultant work together to advance design and construction tasks as a joint team. PDB is also known as "collaborative delivery" and "value-based contractor selection". By integrating the contractor into the preconstruction efforts, the PDB approach is expected to:

- Encourage collaboration to improve project efficiency
- Foster engineer/contractor innovation
- Lower risk of budget overrun
- Increase flexibility of the construction schedule and construction phasing
- Maintain continuity of project knowledge through construction

Q: What happened to the previous project/design (prior to 2024)? Will this project consider comments and concerns shared during that project?

A: The previous design effort was paused so that a plan and project delivery method could be developed to better address public and stakeholder input received. Comments and input provided by the public and stakeholders in response to the original study in 2015 are documented and will be considered during the current project.

Frequently Asked Questions

State / Federal Project No.: CFHWY00130 / 0A33026
FAQ Last Updated February 2026



Q: What is the project area?

A: The project area begins in Sterling at Sterling Highway Milepost (MP) 82.5 just east of the Weigh Station and continues to Soldotna at MP 94 near the Devin Drive intersection at Fred Meyer's.



2. PROJECT SCHEDULE AND FUNDING

Q: What is the overall project schedule?

A: The project is currently in the design phase, which is expected to continue through the winter of 2026. During this phase, the project team is gathering input from the public and collecting and analyzing updated engineering data, including survey, traffic and safety, geotechnical, hydrology and hydraulics, and utilities.


The project team developed and evaluated design alternatives, which were presented to the public and stakeholders at public open house meetings for input and feedback. The preferred design alternative was presented to the community at a pair of public open houses in June 2025.

Beginning in the spring of 2026, the project will transition from design into early construction activities. Over the next five years, work will be delivered through a series of phased construction packages. Please refer to 'Section 5. CONSTRUCTION' of this FAQ document for additional details.

Construction funding is identified in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP) (<https://dot.alaska.gov/stwdplng/cip/stip/>). This is a federally funded project, with a 9.03% state match.

Frequently Asked Questions

State / Federal Project No.: CFHWY00130 / OA33026
FAQ Last Updated February 2026



3. DESIGN QUESTIONS

Q: How do I see the actual plans? What design alternatives are being considered?

A: The Final Environmental Assessment for the project was completed in 2021 and considered several design alternatives, including a 'do nothing' option. That report concluded that a divided 4-lane highway with median breaks best met the project goals and was therefore identified as the 'preferred alternative.' That report and other project materials are available on the project website, at:

www.SterlingSafetyImprovements.com

However, for the current progressive design-build project, DOT&PF tasked a new project team with re-assessing the previous work and recommendations (including the previous preferred alternative) to balance the project's overall safety benefits with the need to minimize impacts to private property access. Since early 2024, the design team has worked through an updated traffic and safety analysis and solicited comments and feedback from the public on several design concepts presented at a series of public meetings and events.

The current design is available for public review and comment on the project website, located here: <https://gis.dowl.com/portal/apps/experiencebuilder/experience/?id=3b7319518620478eb4be456e5e734dce>. Additional details on the design, construction, and how these decisions were made can be found in Sections 5 and 6.

Frequently Asked Questions

State / Federal Project No.: CFHWY00130 / 0A33026
FAQ Last Updated February 2026



Q: Is this project anticipating leaving any 2-lane segments in the corridor?

A: The 2-lane alternative was considered the 'no build' alternative in the previous environmental assessment and was determined to not meet the purpose and need of this project; therefore, it is not being considered.

Q: Why not just build a 5-lane section with a center turn lane like the Kenai Spur Highway?

A: The project team was tasked with evaluating two highway concepts through the design phase – a divided 4-lane (two lanes in each direction) with a depressed median and a 5-lane section (two lanes in each direction with a continuous center left-turn lane). Research shows that a four-lane divided highway with a median is the safer option and would do a better job of reducing fatal and serious injury crashes - particularly in areas with higher traffic volumes, faster speeds, and multiple driveways and access points. Medians provide a recovery area for vehicles that inadvertently leave the roadway because of ice or other difficulties, rather than placing that vehicle directly in conflict with oncoming traffic. However, we recognize that the 4-lane alternative does not provide the same level of direct access to adjacent properties as provided by the 5-lane alternative.

After updating the traffic safety analysis, the project team concluded that a 5-lane section the entire length of the project would not meet the overall project objectives of significantly reducing fatal and serious injury crashes, and so creative solutions and/or compromises would need to be identified, such as developing local roads to provide alternative access to impacted properties.

The design team's proposed solution includes roughly two-thirds of the corridor as a divided 4-lane with depressed medians, and approximately one-third of the project as a 5-lane design with a two-way center left-turn lane. This proposed design will achieve the safety-objectives of the project, while recognizing and responding to specific instances where a center turn lane is more appropriate, with consideration to which segments of the highway have historically had higher crash rates. The design also incorporates more median breaks than previously shown, as well as new frontage roads and off-highway local road connections.

As part of its assessment of design alternatives, the project team did evaluate available data from the Kenai Spur Highway. Though there are some similarities, as shown in the table below, there are also noteworthy differences. One significant difference between the two highways is the number of crashes resulting in fatalities. During the 10-year study period, there were nine traffic-related fatalities on the Sterling Highway Safety Corridor, compared to one fatality on the Kenai Spur Highway. Eight of the fatalities on the Sterling Highway Safety Corridor were a result of head-on collisions. There were no head-on collision fatalities on the Kenai Spur Highway.

Frequently Asked Questions

State / Federal Project No.: CFHWY00130 / OA33026
 FAQ Last Updated February 2026



STERLING HIGHWAY (MP 82.5-94)	KENAI SPUR HIGHWAY (MP 5-8)
Average Daily Traffic: 6,000 to 15,000 vehicles/day	Average Daily Traffic: 9,000 to 11,000 vehicles/day
Peak Seasonal Traffic: 13,000 to 19,000 vehicles/day	Peak Seasonal Traffic: 11,000 to 13,000 vehicles/day
Percent Trucks: 7.7%	Percent Trucks: 4.7%
Number of Driveways per mile: ~16	Number of Driveways per mile: ~20
Speed Limit: 55 MPH	Speed Limit: 55 MPH
Percentage of Crashes that are Animal-Vehicle Crashes: 25%	Percentage of Crashes that are Animal-Vehicle Crashes: 50%
Number of Fatalities (10-year Study Period): 9	Number of Fatalities (10-year Study Period): 1
Fatalities due to Head-On Collisions (10-year Study Period): 8	Fatalities due to Head-On Collisions (10-year Study Period): 0

Q: What are the potential benefits of a separated highway design with medians, and what are the downsides?

A: Medians physically separate opposing lanes of traffic on a roadway and can be depressed, flush, or raised. They are constructed from a range of materials, such as grass or other landscaping, concrete and steel barriers, or painted lines.

The primary benefit of medians is improved safety, specifically:

- Preventing head-on collisions between vehicles traveling in opposite directions
- Reducing the risk of severe crashes resulting in serious injury or fatalities
- Providing space for emergency vehicles or vehicles in distress to pull safely off the roadway

Medians also reduce the number of lane miles that must be plowed and maintained, improve water quality of runoff as part of a stormwater management system, and provide refuge for pedestrians crossing the highway.

Frequently Asked Questions

State / Federal Project No.: CFHWY00130 / 0A33026
FAQ Last Updated February 2026



While medians provide significant safety and maintenance benefits, there are some downsides to consider, including:

- Restricted left-turn access at some driveways and side streets, potentially requiring a right turn or U-turn to access the property or business.
- Higher reliance on side streets and frontage roads to maintain access.
- Potentially higher construction cost due to earthwork associated with divided embankment and median and the need for construction of frontage roads and side streets to maintain access.
- Potentially larger overall footprint (i.e. width of the highway) if median width exceeds comparable center turn lane and frontage roads are constructed. This can create additional impacts to the environment, utilities, and right-of-way acquisition needs.

Q: With a 4-lane roadway with a median, what intersections are being considered to have left turn access (i.e. a ‘median break’)?

A: In areas of the project where a divided 4-lane is recommended, the design incorporates two different types of intersections. The first is a traditional 4-way intersection allowing for full left-turn access onto and off the highway. There are 10 of these proposed in the project, for example, at intersections of West Robinson Loop Road, Jim Dahler Road/Forest Lane, and Fairway Drive.

The second type of intersection we see in the 4-lane divided sections is what is referred to as a Restricted Crossing U-turn or ‘R-CUT.’ The R-CUT provides a protected left-hand turn off the highway, and these are strategically located to align with major neighborhoods and intersections. The benefit of the R-CUT is that it performs better from a safety standpoint than a traditional 4-way intersection, because it removes conflict points where vehicles entering and exiting the highway are both trying to turn left through the same space. And for vehicles needing to make a ‘U-turn’ on the highway, they provide a safe, protected place to come to a complete stop – out of the traffic flow – and wait to make a comfortable 90-degree left-hand turn going the opposite direction. There are 5 of these proposed in the project. View these locations on the the project website, located here:

<https://gis.dowl.com/portal/apps/experiencebuilder/experience/?id=3b7319518620478eb4be456e5e734dce>.

Q: Aren’t U-turns less safe than making left-hand turns from a center turn lane? How will large vehicles such as semi-trucks, RV’s, or vehicles pulling trailers be able to safely make a U-turn?

A: Left-hand turns and U-turns from a center two-way left turn lane both create potential safety issues for vehicles travelling in opposing directions competing for the same space. Left hand turns entering the highway from a side-street or driveway require the turning vehicle to cross both directions of traffic, requiring a gap in traffic from both directions. U-turns from protected turn lanes eliminate the conflict associated with vehicle travelling in opposing directions potentially trying to occupy the same space at the same time. U-turns from protected turn lanes also place motorists in a position where they only have to wait for gaps in traffic from one direction.

Frequently Asked Questions

State / Federal Project No.: CFHWY00130 / 0A33026
FAQ Last Updated February 2026



The intersection designs proposed have been shown to substantially increase safety, decrease delays, and can effectively accommodate turning movements of very large vehicles, including vehicles towing boats and trailers, recreational vehicles, school buses, garbage trucks, and single-unit delivery trucks.

Q: Will there be new stop lights? If so – where?

A: The project team investigated whether conditions meet federal standards for installing new traffic signals at major intersections, such as: Mackey Lake Road, Forest Lane, and Swanson River Road. This evaluation is guided by the Federal Highway Administration's *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices* (MUTCD), available online here: <https://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/index.htm>.

The updated traffic and safety analysis determined that none of the intersections meet federal standards for installing new traffic signals. Some intersections may meet warrants for traffic signals in the future – for example the Mackey Lake Road intersection – so the team is making sure that the roadway as designed will accommodate future traffic signal installation without significant reconstruction.

The project team is planning to install overhead flashing beacons at several intersections. These flashing beacons extend over intersections from light poles and include a flashing yellow light oriented to Sterling Highway users and a flashing red light oriented to motorists approaching the highway from local roads. The flashing beacons increase driver awareness of upcoming intersections and the increased potential for other drivers to be slowing or turning across traffic. Flashing beacons will be installed at the following intersections:

- Sharkathmi Avenue
- Whistle Hill Loop
- Mackey Lake Road
- Fairway Drive
- Jawle Street
- Pine Street
- Solid Rock Road and Isbell Street
- Jim Dahler Road and Forest Lane
- St Theresa Road and Beau Circle (future construction)
- W Robinson Loop Road and Tustumena Street
- KPB Waste Transfer Site Driveway
- W Scout Lake Loop Road and Lois Street
- Swanson River Road and Scout Lake Loop Road

Frequently Asked Questions

State / Federal Project No.: CFHWY00130 / 0A33026
FAQ Last Updated February 2026



4. IMPACTS TO BUSINESSES, NEIGHBORING PROPERTIES, AND RESIDENTS

Q: What are the right-of-way needs for this project? How far into my property will the project encroach?

A: The State of Alaska DOT&PF has been considering widening the Sterling Highway to four lanes since the mid-1980's and has already purchased much of the right-of-way (ROW) anticipated to be needed for the project. The existing ROW width throughout the project corridor varies from 180 feet to over 200 feet, enough to accommodate highway expansion in nearly all locations.

Additional ROW easements and/or acquisition may be required at some locations to accommodate intersection and access improvements, such as realignment of intersecting roads, new frontage roads, new side streets, and reconnecting driveways, but these needs (if any) are not identified at this point.

We expect to have a better idea of ROW needs in 2026, at which point the DOT&PF ROW team will reach out to property owners potentially impacted. DOT&PF will follow all federal and state regulations and statutes for any ROW identified for acquisition. Additional information can be found on the DOT&PF Real Estate/Right-of-Way page at <https://dot.alaska.gov/stwddes/dcsrow/>.

Q: I would like to sell my property to DOT&PF for this project. How do I go about that?

A: Exact right-of-way (ROW) needs have not yet been identified by the project. Once ROW needs are identified, a project team member will reach out directly to affected property owners. As required by law, DOT&PF and its contractors will follow all applicable federal and state regulations for any property identified for acquisition. Additional information can be found on the DOT&PF Real Estate/Right-of-Way page at <https://dot.alaska.gov/stwddes/dcsrow/>.

Q: During construction, how will emergency vehicles and residents travel to and from Sterling and Soldotna?

A: Prior to construction activities beginning, a traffic control and detour plan will be developed and approved by the DOT&PF, in coordination with Kenai Peninsula Borough, the City of Soldotna, first responders, and other user groups. The State of Alaska requires access be maintained for businesses, residents, and emergency vehicles during construction.

Q: What work is planned to repair or upgrade the Soldotna Creek culvert under the highway at Mackey Lake Road?

A: The culvert at Soldotna Creek is undersized for flood flows and does not meet design guidelines for fish passage and will therefore be replaced as part of this project. The design team considered different design options, including a single-span bridge, a precast concrete arch bridge, and a new larger culvert. After evaluating the costs, environmental impacts, and potential benefits of each, the proposed design includes a 145-foot-long single-span bridge. The new bridge will improve hydraulic capacity and fish passage, provide an off-grade crossing for moose and other wildlife, and accommodate future pedestrian facilities.

Frequently Asked Questions

State / Federal Project No.: CFHWY00130 / 0A33026
FAQ Last Updated February 2026



Q: Will this project result in any changes to the speed limit? Why not just reduce the speed limit to improve safety?

A: There are no currently plans to change the existing speed limits in the corridor. Speed limits are determined through speed studies that consider average speeds, crash numbers, roadway environments, and State regulations. Speed limit changes have been shown by national studies to have little effect on how fast people actually drive without additional enforcement and educational programs. Alaska has experience in corridors where lowering speed limits has resulted in greater differences in speed between vehicles, leading to increased safety risks. Therefore, any speed limit change must be carefully considered to ensure the desired outcome. For additional information, please visit the DOT&PF website at this link: <https://dot.alaska.gov/traveltopics/how-are-speed-limits-set.shtml>.

Q: How will this project address the underlying causes of crashes, such as wildlife or driver behavior?

A: Addressing some of the underlying causes of crashes, such as driver fatigue and dangerous or reckless behavior such as speeding or texting while driving, requires increased enforcement and educational programs. However, the physical improvements to the highway are aimed at and expected to improve safety. Some design safety features being considered include adding lanes for additional capacity and passing opportunities, separating traffic traveling in opposite directions with medians, intersection configuration alignment and improvements, lighting, wildlife under-crossings, and a separated pedestrian pathway.

Q: There are currently areas on each side of the highway that are accessible for off-road vehicles (ATV's, side-by-sides, snowmachines, etc.). Will this project eliminate access to those, or is there a plan to accommodate them in the new design?

A: The project team understands the ability to travel the corridor by off-road vehicle is desirable for many users. We are investigating ways, such as providing flat-bottomed ditches wide enough for ATVs and retaining existing gravel trails where space allows, to accommodate ATVs without creating conflicts with other users (vehicles, pedestrians) or elements of the project (e.g. utilities) where ATV use is legal (outside of Soldotna City limits).

Q: We love the idea of the separated pedestrian walkway on the north side of the highway, but how will 'south siders' be able to cross the highway to access it safely? Will there be an underpass, bridge, etc.?

A: The project team is continually evaluating pedestrian safety as the design progresses, including the ability to cross the highway safely. Pedestrians on the south side of the corridor will need to cross the highway at paved intersections, using the median break as a refuge to wait for gaps in traffic, to reach that pathway on the north side of the highway. Pedestrian underpasses or bridges are currently not included in the design.

Q: Will there be sound barriers considered for this project?

A: The project team completed a Noise Study and Analysis, which evaluated sound barriers and other noise reduction methods. Construction of sound barriers was not found to be feasible, appropriate, and

Frequently Asked Questions

State / Federal Project No.: CFHWY00130 / 0A33026
FAQ Last Updated February 2026



reasonable in accordance with the Alaska Noise Policy based on the proposed improvements. To view the policy and other information on noise, visit <https://dot.alaska.gov/stwddes/desenviron/resources/noise.shtml>.

Q: While I understand the need to increase safety, I'm concerned with continuous lighting along the corridor causing more light pollution. What measures can be taken to improve safety but not lead to increased light pollution?

A: The design team is currently analyzing ways to improve safety and reduce vehicle-animal collisions with lighting but also minimize light pollution. Design options being incorporated to reduce light impacts to adjacent properties include appropriate mounting heights, light spacing, "full cut off" style lights that direct the light downward.

5. CONSTRUCTION

Q: What early construction activities are happening in March 2026?

A: QAP and its subcontractors will be onsite beginning in March 2026 to complete advanced clearing of the ROW between MP 82.5 and 94 in preparation of highway construction starting in late summer this year. This construction effort will consist of clearing trees and shrubs typically to within 5 feet of the ROW on both sides of the highway.

Q: Will the public have access to wood that is cleared from the ROW?

A: Yes. Wood from clearing activities will be made available for public use and be stored at 42140 Sterling Highway in Soldotna (across from the Birch Ridge Golf Course). The public is welcome to this wood; however, no mechanical loading will be permitted. Please plan to load wood by hand. Cutting of wood is allowed.

Q: When will the roadway be reconstructed?

A: This project will be phased over several years. Early construction activities will occur in spring 2026 with ROW clearing. Construction Phase 1 will begin on the highway segment between Moose River and Deville Road in late summer 2026 and is expected to take at least two years to complete. Construction Phase 2, the remaining highway segment from Deville Road to Devin Drive, is expected to begin in 2028 and will include a new bridge over Soldotna Creek. This phase is also expected to take at least two years to complete. Both phases will include improvements to local road connections, driveway relocations, intersection upgrades, and a new separated pathway.

Q: Why is construction expected to take so long?

A: Construction is planned in phases taking multiple years to complete to match the scheduled release of federal funding as programmed in the Alaska Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). Phasing construction over several years also reduces traffic impacts to residents and visitors, especially during the height of the summer fishing and tourist season.

Frequently Asked Questions

State / Federal Project No.: CFHWY00130 / 0A33026
FAQ Last Updated February 2026



Q: Why is this project starting construction on the Sterling side when the Soldotna side has more crashes, especially around Mackey Lake Road?

A: Several technical complications on the Soldotna end of the corridor make it infeasible to begin construction before 2028:

- The Soldotna end of the project has several utilities that must be relocated
- Additional ROW must be acquired to facilitate utility relocations and local road improvements
- The bridge at Soldotna Creek will require more time to design, permit, and fabricate

The timelines to complete these tasks are largely beyond DOT&PF's control and are expected to be completed by 2028. For these reasons, we will begin construction in Sterling to approximately milepost 89. This plan allows us to advance construction for some of the project while design continues to complete the full project.

Q: Where can I find out more information about construction activities?

A: Construction information can be found:

- Project website Construction Page (<https://dot.alaska.gov/creg/sterlinghighway82to94/construction.shtml>)
- Construction hotline at (907) 865-1305
- Alaska 511 (<https://511.alaska.gov/map> or by calling 511)
- Alaska Project Exchange (APEX) (<https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/e8bfbd516dc0457d953bf61c5949a3e0>)

6. PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATION

Q: How can I make suggestions or give feedback about the project?

A: The team will accept comments and be available to answer questions throughout the project. Comments can be emailed to the project team at SterlingSafetyImprovements@dowl.com.

In addition, the project team will host two public Open House meetings in 2026:

- Public Open House No. 4 is scheduled for March 3, 2026, at the Sterling Community Center and March 4, 2026, at the Soldotna Public Library.
- Public Open House No. 5 is anticipated in summer 2026 prior to construction activities beginning.

Public open house meetings are advertised on the State of Alaska Online Public Notice site, the project website, by postcard, email notices, in the Peninsula Clarion, and radio stations KDLL and KRSM.

To supplement public meetings, the project team will present information at the:

- Annual Anchorage Transportation Fairs, hosted by DOT&PF and tentatively scheduled for April 2026 at the Alaska Airlines Center.

Frequently Asked Questions

State / Federal Project No.: CFHWY00130 / 0A33026
FAQ Last Updated February 2026



- Soldotna Home Show, hosted by the Kenai Peninsula Builders Association, on April 25-26, 2026, at the Soldotna Regional Sports Complex.
- Kenai Peninsula Sports Recreation and Trade Show, hosted by the Kenai Peninsula Association of Realtors, on May 1-3, 2026, at the Soldotna Regional Sports Complex.

Visit the project website, www.SterlingSafetyImprovements.com for more information, to join the mailing list, and to make comments.

Q: How will public comments be taken into consideration, and will they impact the actual design?

A: Throughout the design phase, the project team worked to identify solutions that address public comments and concerns while balancing the project's goals of improving safety and reducing the number of serious and fatal injury crashes in the corridor. We will continue to gather input from the public and stakeholders throughout the design process.

In response to community feedback and concerns, our team has incorporated several changes over the previous '2022 preferred alternative,' including:

- Incorporating different lane and intersection configurations in different portions of the corridor – rather than requiring just one typical section for the entire length of the project
- Looking for opportunities to add frontage roads into the design, allowing more direct access to the highway at major intersections
- Working with the Kenai Peninsula Borough to identify and expand side-street networks, allowing for more connections and easier navigation off the highway
- Reevaluating the frequency and locations of median breaks, to better align with large neighborhoods and to reduce the out-of-direction travel requiring U-turns
- Widening the center median where the divided highway is proposed, providing a more comfortable and safe experience – more like a protected Left-turn than a traditional U-turn
- Adding overhead flashing beacons at major intersections to alert highway drivers of traffic entering and exiting the highway at these locations

Frequently Asked Questions

State / Federal Project No.: CFHWY00130 / 0A33026
FAQ Last Updated February 2026



Q: When will actual design plans be available for the public to see?

A: The project team presented the preferred design alternative during the third round of public meetings on June 10 and 11, 2025. All materials from the public open house are available on the project website. An online web mapper showing the current design through the corridor is available on the website, or you can scan the QR code below with your smartphone's camera.



We encourage you to provide specific comments and feedback on the team's recommendations and proposed design concepts.