



SAFE ICE ROADS FOR ALASKA (SIRA) PROJECT SCORING RUBRIC

Applicant	
Reviewer	
Requested Project Amount	\$
Total Score	/100

SECTION 0 – ELIGIBILITY & REQUIRED DOCUMENTS (PASS/FAIL)

- Eligible applicant
- Route map included
- Required attachments included
- Application complete and signed
- 9.03% match requirement addressed
- Proposed activities are eligible under SIRA

Result: Pass Fail

Result	Reviewer Justification

SECTION I – APPLICATION COMPLETENESS (5 PTS)

- 5 – All required components submitted and complete
- 3 – Minor omissions or inconsistencies
- 1 – Multiple missing items
- 0 – Major required components missing

Score	Reviewer Notes
—	

SECTION II – NARRATIVE QUALITY (5 PTS)

Evaluate clarity, completeness, and understanding of the proposed ice road operations.

- 5 – Clear, detailed, and well-supported
- 3 – Generally clear with minor gaps
- 1 – Limited detail
- 0 – Unclear or insufficient

Score	Reviewer Notes
—	

SECTION III – MAPS & ROUTE DOCUMENTATION (5 POINTS)

Evaluate route maps, mileage, access points, and route descriptions.

- 5 – Complete and easy to understand
- 3 – Moderate detail
- 1 – Limited detail
- 0 – Missing

Score	Reviewer Notes
—	

SECTION IV – BUDGET QUALITY (10 PTS)

- 10 – Budget is complete, accurate, and supported
- 7 – Minor documentation issues
- 3 – Significant inconsistencies
- 0 – Missing or unreliable

Score	Reviewer Notes
—	

SECTION V – MATCH & FINANCIAL READINESS (5 PTS)

Evaluate ability to provide and document the required 9.03% match.

- 5 – Match clearly documented and achievable
- 3 – Match appears sufficient but needs clarification
- 1 – Limited support
- 0 – Missing or noncompliant

Score	Reviewer Notes
—	

SECTION VI – OPERATIONS PLANS / (PS&E) (10 PTS)

Evaluate staffing, equipment, monitoring, maintenance, and operational approach.

- 10 – Comprehensive and well-supported
- 7 – Adequate with minor gaps
- 3 – Limited detail
- 0 – Missing

Score	Reviewer Notes
—	

SECTION VII – SAFETY INSPECTIONS & SAFETY ACTIVITIES (20 PTS)

Evaluate ice monitoring, inspections, signage, speed controls, advisories, maintenance, and risk management.

- 20 – Comprehensive safety program
- 12 – Adequate safety measures
- 6 – Limited safety planning
- 0 – No meaningful safety measures

Score	Reviewer Notes
—	

SECTION VIII – COMMUNITY BENEFIT (20 PTS)

Evaluate benefits related to subsistence access, employment, freight movement, community connectivity, air service access, health, and quality of life.

- 20 – Strong documented benefit
- 12 – Moderate benefit
- 6 – Limited benefit
- 0 – No demonstrated benefit

Score	Reviewer Notes
—	

SECTION IX – APPLICANT CAPACITY & READINESS (20 PTS)

Evaluate equipment condition, staffing, partnerships, past performance, and project readiness.

- 20 – Strong readiness and capacity
- 12 – Moderate readiness
- 6 – Limited readiness
- 0 – Insufficient capacity

Score	Reviewer Notes
—	

REVIEWER INSTRUCTIONS

Evaluate projects consistently and based solely on information provided in the application. Consider local context and do not penalize smaller communities for size, population, or limited resources. Focus on safety, operational feasibility, community benefit, and readiness to deliver the project.

Ice road projects should be evaluated for their ability to safely provide seasonal transportation access, support subsistence activities, improve connectivity, and maintain critical winter transportation corridors.