# **PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA**

### AIRFIELD PROJECTS GENERAL

	Standards	(5)	(3)	(O)	(-3)	(-5)
1.	Safety	Substantially increases safety	Moderately increases safety	Maintains Status Quo	NIA	N/A
	Weighting: 5					
2.	Health & Quality of Life (Access to Basic Necessities)	Provides a significant contribution to improved health or quality of life	Provides a moderate contribution to improved health or quality of life	Has no effect either positive or negative on health/quality of life issues	N/A	N/A
	Weighting: 4					
3.	Economic Benefits	Provides significant permanent economic opportunities or benefits statewide or interstate. A formal economic evaluation is required.	Provides moderate identifiable, permanent economic opportunities or benefits regionally or locally	Maintains Status Quo	NIA	N/A
	Weighting: 3	·				
4.	Community Support Weighting: 2	Community Public record and state/local plans fully support project. A supporting resolution from local government is required for Community class airports. Local Sponsors receive 5 points, if a supporting resolution has been submitted confirming sponsorship.	Majority of public record supports the project. Nominally supported in official state/local plans.	Public record is divided or undocumented towards the project	Majority of public record opposes the project. Not supported in official state/local plans.	Abundance of public record opposes the project. Opposing resolution from local elected body. Contradicts official state/local plans.
5.	Community M&O Contribution Weighting: 5	Local government assumes ownership of facility, including M&O responsibilities and federal grant obligations	Local government assumes full M&O responsibility of facility	Local government assumes no new responsibilities or cost	NIA	N/A

# **PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA**

### AIRFIELD PROJECTS GENERAL

	Standards	(5)	(3)	(0)	(-3)	(-5)
6.	Local Capital Contribution	Contribution of state match, design, ROW, and/or materials: 1 point per each 5% of project cost. All Local Sponsors receive at least 1 point.	Contribution of state match, design, ROW, and/or materials: 1 point per each 5% of project cost. All Local Sponsors receive at least 1 point.	Provides no capital costs; contributes nothing.	NIA	N/A
7.	Weighting: 5 Maintenance & Operations Weighting: 4	Very High M&O priority	Moderate M&O priority	Not an M&O priority	N/A	N/A
8.	Security/ Certification (Certified Airports Only) Weighting: 3	Corrects deficiencies to meet minimum requirements	Implements desirable security improvements that are not mandates	No affect on security/certification	N/A	N/A
9.	Aviation Alternatives Weighting: 3	N/A	NIA	No access to other public aviation facilities	Moderate facility redundancy with access to another public airport	Substantial facility redundancy with access to another public airport
10.	Community Transportation Alternatives Weighting: 4	No other transportation alternatives available	Transportation alternatives available. See Note #1.	Transportation alternatives available. See Note #1.	Other alternatives may provide comparable transportation benefits	Other alternatives will provide superior transportation benefits
	: Under Criteria 10, all se	eason road access available to an alternative airport = 2. All				ess available to the
		= 2; Less frequent than week				ce = 4.

# **PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA**

### AIRFIELD PROJECTS GENERAL

	Standards	(5)	(3)	(0)	(-3)	(-5)
11.	Runway Length	Existing runway is:	Existing runway is:	Existing runway is	NIA	N/A
	Extension to Meet	less than 2,000 feet = 5	2,500' to 2,999' = 3	3,200 feet or greater = 0		
	3,300' Statewide Standard	2,000 to 2,499' = 4	Less than 3,200' = 1			
	for Community Airports	See Note # 2 & 3	See Note # 2 & 3	See Note # 2 & 3		
	Weighting: 5					
		ng runways less than 3,000' th			1,	
		e lighting receive 1 point and p		runway receive 1 point.		
		projects, see Criteria Guidanc				
		oject evaluations the runway I	engths evaluated will be the	existing airport runway		
length	is on the airport proposed fo	or relocation.				
12.	Runway Surface	Improves poor/failing	Runway surface	Runway surface	NIA	N/A
12.	Condition	surface to all-weather	condition is acceptable	condition is good		
	Condition	capability	but needs improvement	contailion lo good		
	Weighting: 4	capability				
13.	Avigation Hazards:	Corrects significant	Corrects minor	Maintains Status	N/A	N/A
	Trees in approach;	hazards	hazards	Quo		
	Aircraft in Safety					
	Area; Severe					
	Xwinds/Turbulence					
	Weighting: 2					
14.	Erosion/	Alleviates immediate	Addresses problem	Maintains Status	N/A	N/A
	Flooding	threat to airport	needing attention	Quo		
	Weighting: 4					
15.	Other Factors Not	Project shows	Project shows	All project ranking	N/A	N/A
	Previously Evaluated	significant innovation	moderate innovation or	factors previously		
		or unique benefits not	unique benefits not	evaluated		
		previously evaluated	previously evaluated			
	Weighting: 4					