# High Performance Hot Mix Asphalt Intersections 



# Develop A Strategy 

$\nearrow$ Recognize that intersections may need to be treated differently than posted-speed pavements.

## Intersection Strategy

$\lambda$ Assess the problem (if rehabilitating)
$\nearrow$ Ensure structural adequacy
$\nearrow$ Materials selection, mix design and quality control
$\nearrow$ SUPERPAVE Mix Design System
$\nearrow$ Practice proper construction techniques

## MID Intersection Competition

$\nearrow$ MD SHA formed a "Rutting Team" in 1993

$\pi$ No solutions found
$\rtimes$ In 1994 two intersections
on RT 40 given to HMA
\& PCC industries
$\nearrow$ Use any available technology - can ignore MD DOT specs
$\gtrsim$ Work within a budget
$\nearrow$ Best performance wins


## Maryland Asphalt Association Strategy

$\nearrow$ Form Task Force
$\nearrow$ MD Asphalt Association
$\lambda$ NAPA
$\nearrow$ Asphalt Institute
$\nearrow$ Perform forensic analysis on existing roadway before deciding on a solution
$\nearrow$ Consider new technology

## Before - Eastbound Rutting



## Before - 1.5" Rutting per Year



Before - Westbound RT 40

Forensics - Roadway Trench


## $N$ <br> Forensics - 10" Roadway Cores <br> N 1



## Forensics - Hamburg Wheel Tracking Device Testing



## Pavement Design


$\nearrow$ Rutting was evident almost to bottom of existing $8^{\prime \prime}$ HMA in trench
$\nearrow$ Remove and replace all $8^{3 \prime \prime}$ of existing HMA
$\nearrow$ Use SUPERPAVE mixes rather than MD SHA mixes
$\rtimes$ Coarser aggregate structure
$\rtimes$ Specify asphalt binder to meet both climatic and traffic conditions

## Pavement Design Selection

$\nearrow$ Section 1 - $8^{\prime \prime}$
$\nearrow$ Mill 8" \& Pave 8"
त Test Section to be compared to PCC intersection

入 Section 2 - 5"
$\nearrow$ Mill 5" \& Pave 5"
त Compare performance to $8^{\prime \prime}$ section
$入$ Section 3 - 2"
$\nearrow$ Mill 2" \& Pave 2"
$\nearrow$ Cosmetic improvement

## Asphalt Binder Selection

$\nearrow$ Standard Climatic Grade－PG 64－22
入 Traffic Data
入 20 year ESAL＇s＝ 12.8 million
入 12\％Trucks
入＂Bump＂asphalt binder two grades for stopped traffic
$\nearrow$ Selected asphalt grade was PG 76－22
$\nearrow$ Used a stabilized SBS polymer modified asphalt

## Paving Schedule



त All work done at night 7:00PM to 6:00AM
$\nearrow$ Avoided rush hours
$\nearrow$ Little or no traffic disruption
入 Work accomplished in 8 nights - 15,000sy of milling \& paving
$\nearrow \mathrm{PCC}$ intersection -12 days and nights (24 hour lane closure) - for 1700sy of paving

## Vehicles Affected by Work Zone



## Maintenance of Traffic


$\nearrow$ Placed
temporary HMA
ramps at all
entrances after milling operation

## Compaction

$\nearrow$ Used 2 double drum vibratory rollers
$\nearrow$ High
frequency, low amplitude
$\nearrow$ NO TENDER ZONE
$\nearrow$ Achieved density

## QC Test Results - 25mm Mix



## Completed 25mm Base Paving



After - RT 40 Eastbound

## After - RT 40 Eastbound



## Performance Testing - Ride

$\nearrow$ Used California Type Profilograph
$\nearrow$ Measured both HMA intersection and PCC intersection one year after paving

## Ride Testing - Results



Performance Testing - Rutting
$\nearrow$ Transverse Profilograph
$\nearrow$ Pen holding device follows roadway surface
$\nearrow$ Pen draws profile on chart paper
$\nearrow$ After 5 years - 1/16" rutting

## Performance Testing - Rutting



## PCC Performance - After 4 Years (6.25" Whitetopping)



## $M$ 74 PCC Performance - March 2000



## Conclusions


$\nearrow$ Intersections require special treatment
$\nearrow$ Develop a strategy
$\nearrow$ Forensic investigation
$\gtrsim$ Structural strength
$入$ Aggregate structure
$\nearrow$ Correct Asphalt Binder grade
$\nearrow$ Good construction practices

## How do they compare?



## PCC Performance - July 2000


$\star \mathrm{PCC}$ installed in Spring 1995
ォ PCC removed July 2000 \& replaced with SUPERPAVE

## Conclusions



## PCC Performance - July 2000


$\lambda \mathrm{PCC}$ required 288 hours to install
$\nearrow \mathrm{PCC}$ removed and replaced with HMA in 22 hours

## Initial Cost Comparison



## $2000$



## Somerset Intersection Update

## Kentucky Intersection Study

## Somerset Statistics

Asphalt
入 8818 Square Yards
入 Worked 7 evenings
$\nearrow 5$ inches milled and replaced
$\nearrow$ Utilized PG 76－22
入 Cost of \＄25．25 per square yard（48\％less than concrete）
$\nearrow$ Currently meets and exceeds performance expectations

Concrete
入 7865 Square Yards
入 38 Calendar days
$\nearrow 4$ inch white－topping inlay
入 Cost of \＄50 per square yard
入 Currently 108 cracked slabs
入 Many slabs may require replacement in 2001

## Asphalt Pavement Sections



May 17, 2001

## Asphalt Pavement Sections
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## Asphalt Pavement Sections
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## KTC Historical Data

## Average Rutting First 75' From The Stop Bar



PCC Sections


PCC Sections


PCC Sections


PCC Sections


PCC Sections
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# PCC Sections 



May 17, 2001

PCC Sections


May 17, 2001

## KTC Historical Data

## PCC Inlay Cracking



## I-10 Suwannee County Weight

 Stations$\nearrow$ Westbound Lane
$\nearrow$ SBS Modified HMA
入 PG 76-22
$\rtimes 12.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ TL 5 Mix Virgin
$\nearrow$ Two - 2 inch thick lifts
$\nearrow$ Eastbound Lane
$\gtrsim$ Ultra Thin Whitetopping


Westbound Station

## Eastbound Station
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## Eastbound Station



Westbound Station


Eastbound Station


## Westbound Station

## Eastbound Station

## Summary

$\nearrow$ Asphalt intersections work when designed and built properly.
$\nearrow$ PCC does not always work, is expensive, and can cause congestion.
$\rtimes$ PCC whitetopping performance tied to the quality of the underlying HMA
$\nearrow$ HMA is the better choice.

