



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291) OPEN HOUSE MEETING March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**. For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?
☐ Very often
☐ Often
☐ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☐ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided
2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:
☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☒ Not Wanted
3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:
☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☒ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:
☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:
☐ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?
☒ Very tolerant of path flooding (lowest cost option)
☐ Somewhat tolerant of path flooding
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not very tolerant of path flooding
☐ Will not tolerate path flooding (highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

Ques
3,4,5

I will have to move my business from 225 Wendell. I think this will make my facility inaccessible. Very sorry about this. I really have no say. This is already a done deal.

There are physical assaults that occur on the underpasses and they won't be seen, making this more dangerous. Is this taken into consideration?

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice ☐

Radio Ad ☒

Newspaper Ad ☐

Television Ad ☐

ADOT&PF Project Website ☐

Word of mouth ☐

Facebook Ad ☐

Other ☐

(explain):

TODAY!!

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional				Poor		Exceptional				Poor
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	(1)	Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	(2)	1
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	(2)	1	Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	(3)	2	1
Time of Meeting	5	4	(3)	2	1	Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	(2)	1
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1	Courtesy of Staff	5	(4)	3	2	1
Facility Accommodations	5	4	(3)	2	1	Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	(2)	1

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

NAME:

Dr. Nikki Barlow

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

MAILING ADDRESS:

225 Wendell Ave Suite B, Fishers IN 46038

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291) OPEN HOUSE MEETING March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**. For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?
☐ Very often
☐ Often
☒ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☐ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided
2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:
☐ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:
☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:
☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☒ Not Wanted
5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:
☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☒ Not Wanted
6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

<input type="checkbox"/> Very tolerant of path flooding	(lowest cost option)
<input type="checkbox"/> Somewhat tolerant of path flooding	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Opinion/Undecided	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not very tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/> Will not tolerate path flooding	(highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

Do the most you can now -
connectivity w/ appropriate sidewalks may come
later - but it's best to construct the
ped / bicycle elements necessary @ the time
of construction

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice ☐

Radio Ad ☐

Newspaper Ad ☐

Television Ad ☐

ADOT&PF Project Website ☐

Word of mouth ☐

Facebook Ad ☐

Other ☒

(explain): work email

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional				Poor			
Organization of Materials	(5)	4	3	2	1			
Length of Meeting	(5)	4	3	2	1			
Time of Meeting	(5)	4	3	2	1			
Convenience of Location	(5)	4	3	2	1			
Facility Accommodations	(5)	4	3	2	1			
Subject Was Understandable	(5)	4	3	2	1			
Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	(5)	4	3	2	1			
Knowledge of Staff	(5)	4	3	2	1			
Courtesy of Staff	(5)	4	3	2	1			
Visual Aids Were Useful	(5)	4	3	2	1			

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

NAME:

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

MAILING ADDRESS:

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291) OPEN HOUSE MEETING March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**. For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?
☐ Very often
☐ Often
☐ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☒ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided
2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:
☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☒ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:
☐ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:
☐ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:
☐ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?
☒ Very tolerant of path flooding (lowest cost option)
☐ Somewhat tolerant of path flooding
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not very tolerant of path flooding
☐ Will not tolerate path flooding (highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

Primarily only interested as a Contractor interested in building this project.

What thought was given to using Pre-Cast Concrete to reduce schedule length?

How often does the bike path under the Steese highway flood. How do the bridge elevations compare?

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice <input type="checkbox"/>	Radio Ad <input type="checkbox"/>	Newspaper Ad <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Television Ad <input type="checkbox"/>	ADOT&PF Project Website <input type="checkbox"/>	Word of mouth <input type="checkbox"/>
Facebook Ad <input type="checkbox"/>	Other <input type="checkbox"/>	(explain): _____

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional					Poor		Exceptional					Poor
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1		Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1	
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1		Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1	
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1		Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1		Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1		Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1	

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

NAME: Forrest Bolles

E-MAIL ADDRESS: forrestbolles@knife river.com

MAILING ADDRESS:

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291) OPEN HOUSE MEETING March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**. For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?
☐ Very often
☐ Often
☐ Occasionally
☒ Not often
☐ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided
2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:
☐ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:
☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:
☐ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:
☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

<input type="checkbox"/> Very tolerant of path flooding	(lowest cost option)
<input type="checkbox"/> Somewhat tolerant of path flooding	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Opinion/Undecided	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not very tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/> Will not tolerate path flooding	(highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

I cannot state any comment at this time
but I feel the bridge is getting pretty old
therefore it will become dangerous
I support a new bridge.

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice

☐
☐
☐

Radio Ad

☐
☐
☐

Newspaper Ad

☒
☐
☐

Television Ad

☐
☐
☐

ADOT&PF Project Website

☐
☐
☐

Word of mouth

☐
☐
☐

Facebook Ad

☐
☐
☐

Other

☐
☐
☐

(explain):

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional				Poor		Exceptional				Poor
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1	Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1	Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1	Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

NAME:

Harry David Jr

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

MAILING ADDRESS:

PO Box 70943 F66 AK 99707

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291) OPEN HOUSE MEETING March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**.

For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?
☐ Very often
☐ Often
☐ Occasionally
☒ Not often
☐ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided
2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:
☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:
☒ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:
☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☒ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:
☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☒ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?
☐ Very tolerant of path flooding (lowest cost option)
☐ Somewhat tolerant of path flooding
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☒ Not very tolerant of path flooding
☐ Will not tolerate path flooding (highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

* Access under bridge is very important from ease & safety standpoint

* coming only from limited experience of spurs - I would think access of all means on east side is most important. More traffic - foot & bicycle.

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice ☐

Television Ad ☐

Facebook Ad ☐

Radio Ad ☐

ADOT&PF Project Website ☐

Other ☒ (explain): email

Newspaper Ad ☒

Word of mouth ☒

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional					Poor				
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

NAME: Schlosser

E-MAIL ADDRESS: gjschlosser@qtrnw.

MAILING ADDRESS:

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291)

OPEN HOUSE MEETING

March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**.

For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?

- ☐ Very often
- ☐ Often
- ☒ Occasionally
- ☐ Not often
- ☐ Not at all
- ☐ Not sure/undecided

2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:

- ☒ Very Important
- ☐ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:

- ☐ Very Important
- ☒ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:

- ☐ Very Important
- ☒ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:

- ☐ Very Important
- ☐ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☒ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

- | | |
|--|-----------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Very tolerant of path flooding | (lowest cost option) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Somewhat tolerant of path flooding | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Opinion/Undecided | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not very tolerant of path flooding | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Will not tolerate path flooding | (highest cost option) |

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

Main concern is for adequate clearance for boat traffic under bridge during high water events

Also, it seems that accommodating bicycles is important considering not that much impact to overall cost

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice

Radio Ad

Newspaper Ad

Television Ad

ADOT&PF Project Website

Word of mouth

Facebook Ad

Other

(explain): E-mail

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional					Poor					
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1	Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1	Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1	Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

NAME:

David Stoller

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

David Stoller @alaska.gov

MAILING ADDRESS:

1300 College Rd. Fairbanks, AK 99701

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291)

OPEN HOUSE MEETING

March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**.

For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?

- ☐ Very often
- ☐ Often
- ☒ Occasionally
- ☐ Not often
- ☐ Not at all
- ☐ Not sure/undecided

2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:

- ☒ Very Important
- ☐ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:

- ☒ Very Important
- ☐ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:

- ☒ Very Important
- ☐ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:

- ☒ Very Important
- ☐ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

- | | |
|--|-----------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very tolerant of path flooding | (lowest cost option) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Somewhat tolerant of path flooding | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Opinion/Undecided | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Not very tolerant of path flooding | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Will not tolerate path flooding | (highest cost option) |

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

I Bicycle every Sunday in the summer I would use it one Day Weekly I would cross several times. Also Events at Gretna Park I would ride my bike too those so would use the Bike Ramps.

As far as the Flooding - IT DOESN'T MATTER TO ME. If it's flooded I would just go another way

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice

☐
☒
☐

Radio Ad

☐
☐
☐

Newspaper Ad

☒

Television Ad

ADOT&PF Project Website

Word of mouth

Facebook Ad

Other

(explain):

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional					Poor				
Organization of Materials	5	(4)	3	2	1	5	(4)	3	2	1
Length of Meeting	5	4	(3)	2	1	5	4	(3)	2	1
Time of Meeting	5	(4)	3	2	1	5	(4)	3	2	1
Convenience of Location	5	(4)	3	2	1	5	4	(3)	2	1
Facility Accommodations	(5)	4	3	2	1	5	(4)	3	2	1
Subject Was Understandable	5	(4)	3	2	1	5	(4)	3	2	1
Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	(3)	2	1	5	4	(3)	2	1
Knowledge of Staff	5	(4)	3	2	1	5	(4)	3	2	1
Courtesy of Staff	5	4	(3)	2	1	5	4	(3)	2	1
Visual Aids Were Useful	5	(4)	3	2	1	5	(4)	3	2	1

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

Thank you for being here!

NAME: Linda Ross

E-MAIL ADDRESS: VagabondLJR@yahoo.com

MAILING ADDRESS: 3875 Geist Rd. #298 Flx. 99709

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291) OPEN HOUSE MEETING March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**. For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?

☒ Very often
☐ Often
☐ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☐ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided

2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:

☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:

☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:

☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

I prefer
option #4

5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

☒ Very tolerant of path flooding (lowest cost option)
☐ Somewhat tolerant of path flooding
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not very tolerant of path flooding
☐ Will not tolerate path flooding (highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

— ramp access must be provided
for/from north ~ for ADA, strollers, etc...

— most people travel from Graceland to downtown, bus stop @ Taco King —
so I think locating the ramp/stair on the west side of Wendell street is best — it forces people to cross under road, rather than over road = dangerous.

— underpass must be wide & not too low to perceive unsafe, dark, low, dangerous. —

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice ☐

Radio Ad ☐

Newspaper Ad ☐

Television Ad ☐

ADOT&PF Project Website ☐

Word of mouth ☐

Facebook Ad ☐

Other ☒

(explain):

email from co-worker.

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional					Poor		Exceptional					Poor
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1		Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1	
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1		Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1	
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1		Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1		Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1		Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1	

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

seems like there are "options" not "questions" being asked for comment.

Could not clearly understand options or questions — should provide handout w/ very clear diagrams.

NAME: TRACY VANVIRSDALE

E-MAIL ADDRESS: ~~tracy.vanvirsdale@adot.alaska.gov~~
bethisworthnorth.com

MAILING ADDRESS: 212 Front Street, POB, AK 99701

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment — including your personal identifying information — may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291) OPEN HOUSE MEETING March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**. For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?

☒ Very often
☒ Often
☐ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☐ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided

2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:

☒ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:

☒ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very tolerant of path flooding	(lowest cost option)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Somewhat tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/> No Opinion/Undecided	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not very tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/> Will not tolerate path flooding	(highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

[illegible]

Mailed Notice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Radio Ad	<input type="checkbox"/>	Newspaper Ad	<input type="checkbox"/>
Television Ad	<input type="checkbox"/>	ADOT&PF Project Website	<input type="checkbox"/>	Word of mouth	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facebook Ad	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	(explain): _____	

	Exceptional					Poor					
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1	Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1	Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1	Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1

[illegible]**MAILING ADDRESS:**

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.
Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291)

OPEN HOUSE MEETING

March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**. For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?

- ☒ Very often
- ☐ Often
- ☐ Occasionally
- ☐ Not often
- ☐ Not at all
- ☐ Not sure/undecided

2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:

- ☒ Very Important
- ☐ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:

- ☒ Very Important
- ☐ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:

- ☒ Very Important
- ☐ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:

- ☒ Very Important
- ☐ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

- | | |
|--|-----------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very tolerant of path flooding | (lowest cost option) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Somewhat tolerant of path flooding | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Opinion/Undecided | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Not very tolerant of path flooding | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Will not tolerate path flooding | (highest cost option) |

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

As a property owner adjacent on
N side —
① prefer
path under bridge on
N side
w/ ramp on west side
② please consider a design
that discourages use by
public vehicles

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice ☐

Radio Ad ☐

Newspaper Ad ☒

Television Ad ☐

ADOT&PF Project Website ☐

Word of mouth ☒

Facebook Ad ☐

Other ☐

(explain): _____

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional					Poor				
Organization of Materials	5	4	③	2	1	5	4	3	②	1
Length of Meeting	5	④	3	2	1	5	4	③	2	1
Time of Meeting	5	④	3	2	1	5	4	③	2	1
Convenience of Location	⑤	4	3	2	1	5	4	③	2	1
Facility Accommodations	5	4	③	2	1	5	4	3	②	1

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

NAME:

CJBettisworth

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

cbettisworth@bettisworthnorth.com

MAILING ADDRESS:

PO Box 73209, FBKS AK 99707

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment — including your personal identifying information — may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291) OPEN HOUSE MEETING March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by April 17, 2013.

For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?

☐ Very often
☐ Often
☒ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☐ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided

2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:

☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very tolerant of path flooding	(lowest cost option)
<input type="checkbox"/> Somewhat tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/> No Opinion/Undecided	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not very tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/> Will not tolerate path flooding	(highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

- ① Excellent to have all options going under the bridge -- Thanks!
- ② For public service + safety and with an eye to the future growth of our community, it makes the best sense to provide the most convenient options for pedestrians + bicyclists -- OPTION 3.
- ③ It is very important for pedestrian/bicycle safety NOT to connect the pathway from the bridge directly to the east side Front Street. That is a busy parking lot with constant backing vehicles - very unsafe. Please connect to the unfinished (today) pathway parallel to the river. *

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice ☐

Radio Ad ☐

Newspaper Ad ☒

Television Ad ☐

ADOT&PF Project Website ☐

Word of mouth ☐

Facebook Ad ☐

Other ☒

(explain): mailed notice

* Note: we can seek ADA pathway upgrades in Greehl park along the river!

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional					Poor				
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

Convenient, comfortable location.
Slides were washed out.
Graphics on poster board were small scale, a bit hard to decipher

NAME:

Anna Flager

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

MAILING ADDRESS:

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment - including your personal identifying information - may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291) OPEN HOUSE MEETING March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**.

For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?

☒ Very often
☐ Often
☐ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☐ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided

2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:

☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

<input type="checkbox"/> Very tolerant of path flooding	(lowest cost option)
<input type="checkbox"/> Somewhat tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/> No Opinion/Undecided	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not very tolerant of path flooding	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Will not tolerate path flooding	(highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

Please put splash guards along the sidewalks so people aren't splashed by water on the bridge from cars driving by.

Please put a borough bus stop by 2nd street

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice		Radio Ad		Newspaper Ad	
Television Ad	X	ADOT&PF Project Website		Word of mouth	
Facebook Ad		Other		(explain):	

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	<div> <div>Exceptional</div> <div>Poor</div> </div>						<div> <div>Exceptional</div> <div>Poor</div> </div>				
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1	Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1	Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1	Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

NAME:

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

MAILING ADDRESS:

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291)

OPEN HOUSE MEETING

March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by April 17, 2013.

For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?

☐ Very often
☒ Often
☐ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☐ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided

2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:

☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:

☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:

☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

<input type="checkbox"/>	Very tolerant of path flooding	(lowest cost option)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Somewhat tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/>	No Opinion/Undecided	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not very tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Will not tolerate path flooding	(highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

Island Home, the 40-mile neighborhood, Hamilton Acres etc. — all these will be served well by bike/ped facilities. There are many households within easy bike commute to downtown via the bridge.

I'm so glad you've presented several options to accommodate this type of public access. Seems like one of them will actually happen.

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice ☐

Radio Ad ☐

Newspaper Ad ☐

Television Ad ☐

ADOT&PF Project Website ☐

Word of mouth ☐

Facebook Ad ☐

Other ☒

(explain): emailed notice

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional					Poor				
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1					
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1					
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1					
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1					
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1					

	Exceptional					Poor				
Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1					
Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1					
Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1					
Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1					
Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1					

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

NAME:

David van den Berg

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

MAILING ADDRESS:

332 Slater Dr. Fairbanks, AK 99701 (ISLAND HOMES)

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291) OPEN HOUSE MEETING March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**. For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?
☒ Very often
☐ Often
☐ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☐ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided
2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:
☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:
☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:
☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:
☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?
☒ Very tolerant of path flooding (lowest cost option)
☐ Somewhat tolerant of path flooding
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not very tolerant of path flooding
☐ Will not tolerate path flooding (highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

[illegible]

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice

--	--

Radio Ad

--	--

Newspaper Ad

--	--

Television Ad

ADOT&PF Project Website

Facebook Ad

--	--

Other

--	--

(explain):_____

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional				Poor		Exceptional				Poor
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1	Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1	Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1	Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

NAME:

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

MAILING ADDRESS:

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291) OPEN HOUSE MEETING March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**. For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?

☐ Very often
☐ Often
☐ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☒ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided

2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☒ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☒ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☒ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☒ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

<input type="checkbox"/> Very tolerant of path flooding	(lowest cost option)
<input type="checkbox"/> Somewhat tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/> No Opinion/Undecided	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not very tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/> Will not tolerate path flooding	(highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

[illegible]

Mailed Notice ☐ Radio Ad ☐ Newspaper Ad ☒

Television Ad ☐ ADOT&PF Project Website ☐ Word of mouth ☐

Facebook Ad ☐ Other ☐ (explain):

	<div> <div>Exceptional</div> <div>Poor</div> </div>						<div> <div>Exceptional</div> <div>Poor</div> </div>				
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1	Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1	Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1	Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1
Comments or suggestions on the meeting:											

E-MAIL ADDRESS: _____

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291) OPEN HOUSE MEETING March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**. For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?
☐ Very often
☐ Often
☒ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☐ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided
2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:
☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:
☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:
☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:
☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted
6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?
☐ Very tolerant of path flooding (lowest cost option)
☐ Somewhat tolerant of path flooding
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☒ Not very tolerant of path flooding
☐ Will not tolerate path flooding (highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

[illegible]

(explain): Chamber's TRANS.
Cmtee mtg.

	Exceptional					Poor					
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1	Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1	Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1	Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1

[illegible]

KARL GOHLKE

KARLG@FRONTIERSUPPLY.COM

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291) OPEN HOUSE MEETING March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**.

For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?

☐ Very often
☐ Often
☐ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☒ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided

2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:

☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:

☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:

☐ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:

☐ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

<input type="checkbox"/>	Very tolerant of path flooding	(lowest cost option)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Somewhat tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/>	No Opinion/Undecided	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not very tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Will not tolerate path flooding	(highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

[illegible]

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice

INTERVIEW AND REPLY TO THE SUBMISSION

Radio Ad

Newspaper Ad



Television Ad

ADOT&PF Project Website

--	--

Facebook Ad

Other

(explain):

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	<div> <div>Exceptional</div> <div>Poor</div> </div>						<div> <div>Exceptional</div> <div>Poor</div> </div>				
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1	Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1	Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1	Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

NAME:

.....

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

MAILING ADDRESS:

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment -- including your personal identifying information -- may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291)

OPEN HOUSE MEETING

March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**.

For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?

- ☐ Very often
- ☐ Often
- ☐ Occasionally
- ☒ Not often
- ☐ Not at all
- ☐ Not sure/undecided

2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:

- ☐ Very Important
- ☒ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:

- ☐ Very Important
- ☒ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:

- ☐ Very Important
- ☐ Important
- ☒ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:

- ☐ Very Important
- ☐ Important
- ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
- ☐ Not Important
- ☐ Not Wanted

6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

- | | |
|--|-----------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very tolerant of path flooding | (lowest cost option) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Somewhat tolerant of path flooding | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Opinion/Undecided | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Not very tolerant of path flooding | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Will not tolerate path flooding | (highest cost option) |

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

Chena River bridges are pleasant focal points as well as providing access for all - walkers, bikers and vehicles and they seem to draw nice local area enhancements.

Thank you for considering public participation.

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice ☐

Radio Ad ☐

Newspaper Ad ☒

Television Ad ☐

ADOT&PF Project Website ☐

Word of mouth ☐

Facebook Ad ☐

Other ☐

(explain): _____

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional					Poor		Exceptional					Poor
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1		Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1	
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1		Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1	
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1		Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1		Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1		Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1	

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

NAME: _____

E-MAIL ADDRESS: _____

MAILING ADDRESS: _____

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!

19



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291)

OPEN HOUSE MEETING

March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by April 17, 2013. For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?
 - ☒ Very often
 - ☐ Often
 - ☐ Occasionally
 - ☐ Not often
 - ☐ Not at all
 - ☐ Not sure/undecided
2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:
 - ☒ Very Important
 - ☐ Important
 - ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
 - ☐ Not Important
 - ☐ Not Wanted
3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:
 - ☒ Very Important
 - ☐ Important
 - ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
 - ☐ Not Important
 - ☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:
 - ☒ Very Important
 - ☐ Important
 - ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
 - ☐ Not Important
 - ☐ Not Wanted
5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:
 - ☒ Very Important
 - ☐ Important
 - ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
 - ☐ Not Important
 - ☐ Not Wanted
6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?
 - ☒ Very tolerant of path flooding (lowest cost option)
 - ☐ Somewhat tolerant of path flooding
 - ☐ No Opinion/Undecided
 - ☐ Not very tolerant of path flooding
 - ☐ Will not tolerate path flooding (highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

* This is downtown. All new facilities should specifically provide modal opportunities for pedestrians and bicycles. Downtown is our most dense area & the FNSB and vehicles have problems negotiating short distances, compared to roads & bikes. I personally will use these facilities as a walker, a runner, and a bicyclist.

Suggestions: contact FUCB for their walking tour brochures. Also contact walking tour groups and companies. Please contact segway tour companies. Good luck.

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice ☐

Radio Ad ☐

Newspaper Ad ☐

Television Ad ☐

ADOT&PF Project Website ☐

Word of mouth ☐

Facebook Ad ☐

Other ☐

(explain): _____

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional			Poor				Exceptional			Poor		
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1		Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1	
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1		Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1	
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1		Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1		Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1		Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1	
Comments or suggestions on the meeting:													

NAME:

Bernardo Hernandez

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

bhernandez@fnsb.us

MAILING ADDRESS:

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291) OPEN HOUSE MEETING March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**. For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?

☐ Very often
☐ Often
☒ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☐ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided

2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:

☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☒ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☒ Not Wanted

6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

<input type="checkbox"/> Very tolerant of path flooding	(lowest cost option)
<input type="checkbox"/> Somewhat tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/> No Opinion/Undecided	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not very tolerant of path flooding	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Will not tolerate path flooding	(highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

Having a path under the bridge is not desirable - too many people (drunks) hang out there - out of sight, out of mind. Also flood risk is too high & it will be just like under Peger Rd. bridge. I'd prefer that the path connect in front of Bettisworth North - just take the path up to Wendell Bridge, and then only use the one existing underpass on the South Side of the river.

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice ☐

Radio Ad ☐

Newspaper Ad ☐

Television Ad ☐

ADOT&PF Project Website ☐

Word of mouth ☒

Facebook Ad ☐

Other ☐

(explain): _____

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional					Poor		Exceptional					Poor
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1		Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1	
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1		Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1	
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1		Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1		Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1		Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1	

Comments or suggestions on the meeting:

Did not hear about it. Friend gave me this form

NAME: _____

E-MAIL ADDRESS: _____

MAILING ADDRESS: _____

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment - including your personal identifying information - may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291)

OPEN HOUSE MEETING

March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by April 17, 2013. For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?

☐ Very often
☐ Often
☒ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☐ Not at all
☐ Not sure/undecided

2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:

☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:

☐ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:

☐ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:

☐ Very Important
☒ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very tolerant of path flooding	(lowest cost option)
<input type="checkbox"/> Somewhat tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/> No Opinion/Undecided	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not very tolerant of path flooding	
<input type="checkbox"/> Will not tolerate path flooding	(highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

Was dismayed by lack of overall riverfront planning in past when railings from FtW to Power Plant are different as you proceed along the path. As charming as a type of - aesthetically it doesn't "flow." Pls consider that in the bridge design. Pick 1 type & stick to it. I prefer the wood/wrought iron.

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice ☐

Radio Ad ☐

Newspaper Ad ☐

Television Ad ☐

ADOT&PF Project Website ☐

Word of mouth ☐

Facebook Ad ☐

Other ☐

(explain):

n/a

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

n/a

	Exceptional					Poor					
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1	Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1	Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1	Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1	Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1
Comments or suggestions on the meeting:											

NAME:

Sarah Lefebvre

E-MAIL ADDRESS:

slefebvre@colaska.com

MAILING ADDRESS:

PO Box 40758603 Fbx 99711

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!



COMMENT SHEET



WENDELL AVENUE BRIDGE (Project 63291)

OPEN HOUSE MEETING

March 27, 2013

We welcome your input and appreciate you taking the time to be involved. All comments and poll answers received will be posted on the project website. Please submit your comments by **April 17, 2013**.

For more information or to submit comments, please see the ADOT&PF staff at the meeting or contact Sarah Schacher, P.E., Engineering Manager, Phone (907) 451-5361, FAX (907) 451-5126, email: sarah.schacher@alaska.gov, or visit the project website at: <http://dot.alaska.gov/nreg/wendell>. For text telephone (TDD), please call (907) 451-2363.

PROJECT QUESTIONS (Please check only one answer for each question):

1. How often will you use any of the proposed bicycle and pedestrian connection options?

☐ Very often
☐ Often
☐ Occasionally
☐ Not often
☐ Not at all
☒ Not sure/undecided

2. A bicycle-friendly connection directly to the east side of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park side) is:

☒ Very Important
☐ Important
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

3. An underpass pathway below the north end of the bridge (i.e., the Graehl Park end) is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

Questions 4-6 are contingent upon an underpass being selected. (Please check only one answer for each question)

4. A connection from the west side of the underpass directly to the bridge sidewalk is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

5. A sidewalk from the underpass along (west) Front Street, continuing to 2nd Street is:

☐ Very Important
☐ Important
☒ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not Important
☐ Not Wanted

6. Given the relative costs, how tolerant are you toward flooding of the underpass pathway?

☒ Very tolerant of path flooding (lowest cost option)
☐ Somewhat tolerant of path flooding
☐ No Opinion/Undecided
☐ Not very tolerant of path flooding
☐ Will not tolerate path flooding (highest cost option)

Please continue comments on the other side →

Please take a moment to explain your answers to Questions 1-6, or share other comments about the project (project scope, traffic impacts, Chena River access, bridge aesthetics, etc.):

The proposed steps at the north end of the bridge should be eliminated. Exterior steps intended for public use are impractical in Fairbanks are impractical and unsafe as they are very difficult and almost impossible to maintain in a useable and safe condition during the winter in Fbks which lasts 6 to 8 months a year. Falls on exterior steps can and do cause serious injuries.

The pedestrian connection from the bridge new bridge should be provided by ramps, rather than steps, designed for efficient snow removal.

How did you learn about today's meeting? (check all that apply)

Mailed Notice	<input type="checkbox"/>	Radio Ad	<input type="checkbox"/>	Newspaper Ad	<input type="checkbox"/>
Television Ad	<input type="checkbox"/>	ADOT&PF Project Website	<input type="checkbox"/>	Word of mouth	<input type="checkbox"/>
Facebook Ad	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	(explain): _____	

Open House Meeting Criteria (circle one for each item)

	Exceptional					Poor		Exceptional					Poor
Organization of Materials	5	4	3	2	1		Subject Was Understandable	5	4	3	2	1	
Length of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1		Presenter(s) Spoke Clearly	5	4	3	2	1	
Time of Meeting	5	4	3	2	1		Knowledge of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	
Convenience of Location	5	4	3	2	1		Courtesy of Staff	5	4	3	2	1	
Facility Accommodations	5	4	3	2	1		Visual Aids Were Useful	5	4	3	2	1	
Comments or suggestions on the meeting:													

NAME: JC Phillips

E-MAIL ADDRESS: PO BOX 70567

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 70567 Fbks AK 99707

*Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, please be advised that your entire comment – including your personal identifying information – may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

If you need additional space for comments, please attach an extra blank sheet.

Thank you for your time and consideration of our project!

This can best be accomplished by lowering both ends of the new bridge by as little as three feet. This will enable the construction of shorter thus safer ramps connecting the new bridge and Front Street for both bicyclists and pedestrians. A shorter bridge at both ends will also facilitate better safer, shorter ramp connections as well.

The width of the driving portion of the bridge should be expanded to accommodate three standard traffic lanes matching the roadway width of the newly reconstructed Wendell St. and easing the transition with the Old Steese roadway which is 5 lanes a couple of hundred feet north.

Traffic growth of just 3% a year means doubling in volume in 24 years and quadrupling in volume in 48 years which should be far less than the expected life of a new bridge.

The new book is a compilation
of the various facts and figures
that have been collected in the
past few years. It is a valuable
reference work for all those
interested in the history of
the country. The book is
written in a clear and
concise style, and is
easily accessible to all.
It is a must for every
library and a valuable
addition to the collection.
The book is a good
example of the work of
the committee, and is
a credit to them.