

# State of Alaska Department of Transportation & Public Facilities

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DOCUMENTATION FORM

(NEPA Assignment Program Projects)

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by the applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been carried out by the DOT&PF pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated November 3, 2017, and executed by FHWA and DOT&PF.

# I. Project Information

- A. Project Name: FAST Area Surface Upgrades FFY2023
- B. State Project Number: NFHWY00633
- C. Federal Project Number: pending

**D. Primary/Ancillary Project Connections:** Primary: NFHWY00506 Fast Improvement Program FFY 2020-2022 (Design)

E. COA Determination: 23 CFR 771.117(d)(13)

## F. Project Scope:

TIP or STIP: TIP

Need ID: 19096

**Project Scope:** 

Perform gravel or asphalt surface maintenance and preservation activities on roads, sidewalks and pathways. Work may also include new or upgraded illumination, signing and striping, storm drains and intersection improvements including nonmotorized crossings, as well as ADA upgrades to sidewalks and curb ramps. State pays design match and local government pays construction match, per agreement.

## G. Project Purpose And Need:

The purpose and need of this project is to enhance roadway safety, performance, and reduce maintenance cost.

## **H. Project Description:**

The project will improve the following areas:

- 2nd Ave Dog Park Reestablish drainage, pave existing driveway and parking area, repair or replace damaged sections of the bicycle/pedestrian path, replace curb and gutter, and clear vegetation.
- Aztec Subdivision Mill existing asphalt and repave the following roads: Aztec Rd, Copper St, Ione St, Shoshone Dr, Vicki Ln, Charlotte Rd, Choctaw Rd, Glenn St, and Pueblo St (North). Pave the following gravel roads: Pueblo St (South), Marsh Laurel Ave, and Tamarack Woods Dr. Other project activities in this area include replacing 3 failing culverts, replace existing signage, clear vegetation, and reestablish existing ditches.
- College Road A rectangular rapid flashing beacon will be installed in the vicinity of the Farmer's Market and Caribou Way. Additional work includes; utilities, striping, upgrade curb and gutter to ADA compliance, and striping a cross-walk.

## Attachments

## **Environmental Consequences**

## **Project Plans & Location Information**

• Project Plans and Location Info NFHWY00633.pdf

## Historic Properties and Cultural Impacts

- NFHWY00633\_direct\_to\_findings\_signed.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf
- NFHWY00506\_FAST\_23\_\_Upgrades\_FFY2023\_106PA\_UPDATE\_10.17.2022\_final.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf
- NFHWY00633\_Findings\_Letter\_SHPO.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf
- RE\_Findings for NFHWY00633 FAST Area Surface Upgrades FFY2023\_SHPO\_Concurrence.pdf
   NFHWY00633.pdf

## Section 4(f)/6(f) Impacts

- Section 4(f) Exception NFHWY00633.pdf
- NFHWY00633 FNSB OWJ Concurrence.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf

## Floodplain Impacts (23 CFR 650, Subpart A)

- LHS NFHWY00633.pdf
- Public Involvement Documentation NFHWY00633.pdf

## Fish and Wildlife Impacts

• USFWS - Eagle Nesting Data.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf

## Water Quality Impacts

• DEC Charley Palmer.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf

## **Comments and Coordination**

## **Public Involvement**

- NFHWY00633 OPN.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf
- NFHWY00633 OPN NFHWY00633 (1).pdf

## Agency Involvement

- NFHWY00633 Agency Scoping Letter.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf
- NFHWY00633 Agency Comment Response Summary.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf

# II. Environmental Consequences

A. Land Use and Transportation Plans	Yes	No
1. Were land use plans for this area reviewed? If yes, include source, link, and date accessed.	V	
FNSB Regional Comprehensive Plan (2005), <u>https://www.fnsb.gov/DocumentCenter/View/900/Regional-Comprehensive-Plan-PDF#:~:text=The</u> <u>%20FNSB%20Regional%20Comprehensive%20Plan%20is%20organized%20into,integral</u> <u>%20elements%20of%20the%20FNSB%20Regional%20Comprehensive%20Plan</u> ., 7/26/2022		
<b>a.</b> Is the project consistent with land use plan(s)?	V	
2. Were transportation plans for this area reviewed?	V	
FMATS 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (2018), <u>https://fastplanning.us/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/combined_printable_appendix.pdf</u> , 7/26/2022 Interior Alaska Transportation Plan (2010), <u>https://dot.alaska.gov/stwdplng/areaplans/area_regional/assets/iatp/full-iatp.pdf</u> , 7/26/2022		
Let's Keep Moving 2036: Policy Plan (2016), https://dot.alaska.gov/stwdplng/areaplans/lrtpp2016/docs/LRTPpolicyplan_finalsigned_12-16.pdf. 7/26/2022		
<b>a.</b> Is the project consistent with transportation plan(s)?	V	
3. Would the project induce adverse indirect and cumulative effects on land use or transportation?		Ø

## Summary

Summarize how the project is consistent or inconsistent with land use and transportation plan(s).

## FNSB Regional Comprehensive Plan

• Transportation and Infrastructure Goal 1, Strategy 1: Encourage location, design and maintenance or roads based on their function and community needs.

## FMATS 2045 Metropolitan Plan

- Goal 2a: Provide a safe, efficient, and secure transportation system for all users.
- Goal 2b: Equip, manage, and operate the transportation system to be multimodal and interconnected.
- Goal 4: Optimize the utility and lifespan of the existing transportation system.

## Interior Alaska Transportation Plan

- Health, Safety, and Security: Improve the overall Interior Regional Transportation System to promote the health, safety, and security of residents and visitors and for all motorized and non-motorized users.
- Preservation: Emphasize preservation of the existing transportation facilities.
- Efficiency: Provide efficient and cost-effective regional transportation facilities. Promote efficient system management and operation and enhance the integration and connectivity of the system.

## Let's Keep Moving 2036: Policy Plan

• Prioritize investments in system preservation, modernization, and new construction based on their impact on our

3 of 15

transportation system performance goals and cost effectiveness.

- Improve transportation system resiliency and add redundancy to address safety and security risks.
- Manage and operate the system to improve operational efficiency and reduce safety risk.

B. <u>Right-of-Way Impacts</u>	Yes	No
<b>1.</b> Are there any temporary right-of-way (ROW) impacts (e.g., Temporary Construction Easements (TCEs), Temporary Construction Permits (TCPs), utility relocates, construction staging area)?	Ŋ	
2. Is additional permanent ROW required?		V

#### Summary

Summarize ROW impacts, if any. Include any project-specific commitments or mitigative measures in Section V.

No additional permanent ROW will be acquired for this project however TCEs/TCPs may be acquired.

C. Environmental Justice Impacts (E.O. 12898)	Yes	No
1. Is there potential to affect environmental justice (EJ) populations?	$\square$	
2. Include source, link, and date accessed of databases used.		
EJSCREEN, https://ejscreen.epa.gov/mapper/, 7/26/2022		
3. Are environmental justice (EJ) populations present within or adjacent to the project area?	Ø	
<b>4.</b> Will the project have an adverse effect on EJ populations?		V

## Summary

Summarize EJ population impacts and mitigation, if any. Include any project-specific commitments or mitigative measures in Section V.

There are no anticipated adverse permanent social or cultural impacts. Positive social impacts would result from improved roadway surfaces in the Aztec Subdivision as well as improved bicycle path, parking lot for the 2nd Ave Dog Park, and the pedestrian crossing on College Road.

D. <u>Historic Properties and Cultural Impacts</u>	Yes	No
<b>1.</b> Is a National Register of Historic Places listed or eligible property in the proposed Area of Potential Effect (APE)?		V
<b>2.</b> Was a programmatic allowance processed for the project under the Section 106 Programmatic Agreement?	V	
Attachments		
<ul> <li>NFHWY00506_FAST_23Upgrades_FFY2023_106PA_UPDATE_10.17.2022_final.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf</li> </ul>		
<b>3.</b> Was Section 106 consultation initiated or a Direct to Findings worksheet completed?	V	

D. Historic Properties and Cultural Impacts	Yes	No
<b>a.</b> Was a direct to findings worksheet completed?	V	
Attachments		
NFHWY00633_direct_to_findings_signed.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf		
4. Was a Section 106 "Finding of Effect" completed?	V	
Attachments		
NFHWY00633_Findings_Letter_SHPO.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf		
a. Date "Finding of Effect" Letters sent:		
9/9/2022		
<b>b.</b> State "Finding of Effect":		
No Effect		
<b>c.</b> Were there any changes to consulting parties?		V
d. Were any comments received?	V	
All comments received were only confirmation emails stating that the Findings Letters were received by the consulting parties.		
5. Date State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) concurred with "Finding of Effect":		
9/22/2022		
Attachments		
<ul> <li>RE_ Findings for NFHWY00633 FAST Area Surface Upgrades FFY2023_SHPO_Concurrence.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf</li> </ul>		
6. Will there be an adverse effect on a historic property?		$\checkmark$
7. Are there any unresolved issues with consulting parties, including project issues or concerns of a federally-recognized Indian Tribe [36 CFR 800.16(m)]?		V

Summarize impacts to historic properties and mitigation, if any. List affected sites (by AHRS number only) and any commitments or mitigative measures. Also include any project-specific commitments or mitigative measures in Section V.

Section 106 Findings Letters of "No Historic Properties Affected" were sent on 9/9/2022 to the following consulting parties: SHPO, Denakkanaaga, Doyon Limited, Jim Matherly (City of Fairbanks Mayor), FNSB Commission on Historic Properties, Bryce J. Ward (FNSB Mayor), Santa Claus (City of North Pole Mayor Pro Tem), Tanana Chiefs Conference, and the Tanana-Yukon Historical Society. SHPO concurred with these findings on September 22, 2022.

A Section 106 PA was completed to include the addition of the College Road RRFB to the list of project activities. The Northern Region PQI determined on 10/17/2022 that the project qualifies as Tier 1/Tier 2 PA for Project Updates.

## E. Section 4(f)/6(f) Impacts

1. Section 4(f) (23 CFR 774)

Yes No

5 of 15

E. <u>Section 4(f)/6(f) Impacts</u>	Yes	No
<b>a.</b> Was detailed Section 4(f) resource identification conducted for this project, other than that required for Section 106 compliance?	Ø	
<b>b.</b> Does a Section 4(f) resource exist within or adjacent to the project area?	Ø	
c. Does an exception listed in 23 CFR 774.13 apply to this project?	$\square$	
• 23 CFR 774.13(g)		
Attachments		
• Section 4(f) Exception NFHWY00633.pdf		
<b>d.</b> Does the project result in the "use" of a Section 4(f) property?		V
<b>2.</b> Section 6(f) (36 CFR 59)		
<b>a.</b> Does a Section 6(f) Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (LWCFA) resource exist within or adjacent to the project area?		V
a da		

Summarize Section 4(f)/6(f) involvement, if any.

Work being conducted on/within the Chena River Bike Path, Chena Riverfront Park, and the 2nd Ave Dog Park 4(f) resources, meets the conditions for the exception to Section 4(f) approval stated in 23 CFR 774.13(g) Transportation enhancement activities, transportation alternatives projects, and mitigation activities. DOT&PF has determined that the proposed project meets an exception to a Section 4(f) approval. Therefore, the requirements of Section 4(f) do not apply. The Section 4(f) applicability statement, consultation with the NEPA Program Manager and with the OWJ is attached.

## Attachments

• NFHWY00633 FNSB OWJ Concurrence.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf

F. Contaminated Sites and Hazardous Materials Impacts	Yes	No
1. Include source, link, and date accessed of databases used.		
Alaska DEC Contaminated Sites, <u>https://www.arc/gis.com/apps/mapviewer/index.html?</u> webmap=315240bfbaf84aa0b8272ad1cef3cad3, 8/22/2022		
2. Are there known or potentially contaminated sites within or adjacent to the existing ROW?	Ø	
3. Would a documented hazardous material site be acquired?		Ø
4. Are there contaminated sites within 1,500 feet of where excavation dewatering is anticipated?		V
Summary		

Summarize the contaminated site impacts and mitigation, if any.

There is one active contaminated site adjacent to the project area and is located on Vicki Ln. Although this site is active, there are no adverse impacts expected regarding contaminated and hazardous sites as this project as no excavation.

G. <u>Floodplain Impacts (23 CFR 650, Subpart A)</u>	Yes	No
1. Does the project encroach into a mapped base floodplain or a potential unmapped base floodplain?		
Attachments		
LHS NFHWY00633.pdf		
Public Involvement Documentation NFHWY00633.pdf		
<b>a.</b> Does the project encroach into a regulatory floodway?		Ø
<b>b.</b> Would the proposed action increase the base flood elevation (BFE) one-foot or greater, or any rise in a regulatory floodway?		V
c. Is there a longitudinal encroachment into the 100-year floodplain?		V
<b>d.</b> Is there significant encroachment as defined by 23 CFR 650.105(q)?		V
2. Does the project conform to local flood hazard requirements?	V	
3. Is the project consistent with E.O. 11988 (Floodplain Protection)?	Ø	

Summarize floodplain impacts and describe any temporary encroachment(s) and functionally dependent use(s).

The project will maintain or improve drainage patterns so no adverse floodplain impacts are anticipated. The project will not involve significant encroachments. A Public Notice on the State of Alaska listing E.O. 11988 Floodplain Management was posted on 9/7/2022. The Location Hydraulic Study was completed for this project and can be located in the attachments for this document.

H. <u>Wetland and Waterbody Impacts</u>	Yes	No
<b>1.</b> Would the project affect wetlands or other Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS), as defined by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) (Section 404).		V
2. Is a USACE authorization anticipated?		V
3. Will the project involve navigable waters as defined by the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) (Section 9)?		Ø
<b>4.</b> Will the project affect a designated Wild and Scenic River or land adjacent to a Wild and Scenic River, including those on the Nationwide Rivers Inventory?		Ø

**a.** Estimated fill quantities below:

#### Summary

Summarize wetland and waterbody impacts and mitigation, if any.

This project will not impact wetlands or waters of the U.S.

## I. Fish and Wildlife Impacts

**1.** Anadromous and resident fish habitat.

7 of 15

Yes No

I. <u>Fish and Wildlife Impacts</u>	Yes	No
a. Include source, link, and date accessed of databases used.		
No water sources will be impacted.		
ADF&G Alaska Fish Resource Monitor, https://experience.arcgis.com//experience/1a4eb07b42ff4ebb8c71ba45adaedf0c/, 11/7/2022		
<b>b.</b> Is anadromous or resident fish habitat present in project area (Title 16.05.841 and 16.05.871)?		Ø
2. Essential Fish Habitat (EFH).		
a. Include source, link, and date accessed of databases used.		
This project will not impact water resources.		
ADF&G Alaska Fish Resource Monitor, <u>https://experience.arcgis.com//experience/1a4eb07b42ff4ebb8c71ba45adaedf0c</u> /, 11/7/2022		
<b>b.</b> Is EFH present in project area?		V
3. Threatened and Endangered (T&E) Species		
a. Include source, link, and date accessed of databases used.		
USFWS IPaC Mapper, https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov/location/I2OOBQLCXVHBBM3BB546K3IJIE/resources, 8/22/2022		
<b>b.</b> Are listed threatened or endangered species present in the project area?		V
4. Marine Mammals.		
<b>a.</b> Is the project located in the marine environment?		V
5. Wildlife Resources:		
a. Is the project in an area of high wildlife/vehicle accidents?		V
<b>b.</b> Would the project bisect migration corridors?		V
<b>c.</b> Would the project segment habitat?		V
6. Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act.		
a. Include source, link, and date accessed of databases used.		
USFWS IPaC Mapper, https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov/location/index, 8/22/2022		
<b>b.</b> Is the project visible from an eagle nesting tree?		V
<b>c.</b> Is the project within 330 feet of an eagle nesting tree?		Ø
<b>d.</b> Is the project within 660 feet of an eagle nesting tree?		Ø

I. <u>Fish and Wildlife Impacts</u>	Yes	No
<b>e.</b> Will the project require blasting or other activities that produce extreme loud noises within 1/2 a mile from an active nest?		V
<b>f.</b> Is an eagle permit required?		V
7. Is the project consistent with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act?		

Summarize fish and wildlife impacts and mitigation, if any.

No impacts to fish and wildlife are anticipated.

Bald and golden eagles may occur within the project area, USFWS recommends that if eagles are present within the project area to avoid construction during their nesting season (March 1-August 31) and that if there are any eagle nests that occur during construction within a half mile of the project activities to use methods that reduce impacts on eagles. See attached consultation with USFWS (11/7/2022).

Mechanized vegetation/land clearing activities will be avoided during the migratory bird nesting season (May 1-July 15) unless a mitigative work plan is submitted by the contractor and approved by DOT&PF.

#### Attachments

• USFWS - Eagle Nesting Data.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf

J. <u>Invasive Species Impacts</u>	Yes	No
1. Include source, link, and date accessed of databases used.		
AKEPIC Data Portal, <u>https://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/apps/akepic/#map?lg=f37ef462-d080-11e3-a36b-00219bfe5678</u> , 8/22/2022		
2. Are invasive species present in project area?	Ø	
<b>3.</b> Does the project include all practicable measures to minimize the introduction or spread of invasive species, making the project consistent with E.O. 13112 (Invasive Species)?	V	

#### Summary

Summarize invasive species impacts and mitigation, if any.

The following invasive species are present in the project area: butter and eggs, yellow sweetclover, and bird vetch.

Practicable measures to minimize the introduction or spread of invasive species would include: 1) Avoiding the use of listed noxious species for landscaping and erosion control purposes 2) Sequencing construction activities to minimize disturbed areas 3) Timely seeding of project disturbed areas with non-invasive species providing adequate cover. With implementation of the practicable measures, the project is expected to result in no substantial invasive species impacts.

K. Water Quality Impacts	Yes	No
1. Will there be temporary degradation of water quality?	$\square$	

K. <u>Water Quality Impacts</u>	Yes	No
2. Is a public or private drinking water source or protection area within or adjacent to the project?	V	
Attachments		
DEC Charley Palmer.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf		
<b>3.</b> Would the project result in a discharge of storm water to a WOTUS? [40 CFR 230.3(o)]	Ø	
4. Would the project discharge storm water into or affect an ADEC-designated Impaired Waterbody?		Ø
5. Will the project involve more than one (1) acre of ground-disturbing activities?	V	
<b>6.</b> Is there a Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) APDES permit, or will runoff be mixed with discharges from an APDES permitted industrial facility?	Ø	
a. List APDES permit number and type:		
<ul> <li>A small portion of the 2nd Ave Dog Park is located within the Drinking Water Protection Area (AK2310730) Golden Heart Utilities Community System.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>A small portion of the Aztec Subdivision is located within the Drinking Water Protection Area (AK2310450) Polar Ice Center Transient Non-Community Water System and the Badger Road Baptist Church (AK2315146).</li> </ul>		
<b>Summary</b> Summarize the water quality impacts and mitigation, if any.		
No adverse impacts to water quality are anticipated. In-water work is not proposed. The project is locat Golden Heart Utilities (AK2310730) and Polar Ice Center (AK2310405) water systems. Project storm discharge into the Chena River and to the overall Fairbanks Area MS4 (AKS053414).		
L. <u>Air Quality Impacts</u>	Yes	No
1. Will there be temporary degradation of air quality?	$\square$	
<b>2.</b> Is the project located in an air quality maintenance area or nonattainment area (CO or PM-10 or PM-2.5)?	Ø	
• CO		
• PM-2.5		
<b>3.</b> Is the project exempt from an air quality analysis per 40 CFR 93.126 (Table 2 and Exempt Projects)?	Ø	
<b>4.</b> Is the project included in a conforming Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)?	Ø	
a. Date of FHWA/FTA conformity determination:		
9/1/2022		
<b>5.</b> Has there been a significant change in the scope or the design concept as described in the most recent conforming TIP and LRTP?		Ø

#### L. Air Quality Impacts

**6.** Was a project-level analysis (identify below) completed meeting the requirements of Section 93.123 of the conformity rule? The results satisfy the requirements of Section 93.116.

#### Summary

Summarize air quality impacts and mitigation, if any.

Temporary degradation of air quality may occur from the use of heavy equipment through emissions and airborne particulates. To mitigate for air quality the contractor will provide watering of dust prone areas during construction. The proposed project is within the FNSB PM-2.5 nonattainment area. This project is listed on the TIP. Therefore, this project is exempt from the requirement to determine conformity in accordance with 40 CFR 3.126 Table 2 list of exempt project.

M. Noise Impacts (23 CFR 772)	Yes	No
1. Will there be temporary noise impacts?	$\blacksquare$	
<b>2.</b> Does the project involve any of the following Type I project actions listed below (23 CFR 772.5)?		V

#### Summary

Summarize noise impacts and mitigation, if any.

The proposed project is not Type 1 project therefore, a noise analysis is not required. Noise impacts during construction of the road will be minimal and temporary.

N. <u>Social and Economic Impacts</u>	Yes	No
1. Would the project affect neighborhoods or community cohesion?		V
<b>2.</b> Would the project affect school boundaries, recreation areas, churches, businesses, police and fire protection, etc.?		V
<b>3.</b> Would the project affect the elderly, handicapped, non-drivers, transit-dependent, minority and ethnic groups, or the economically disadvantaged?		V
<b>4.</b> Would the project affect travel patterns and accessibility (e.g., vehicular, commuter, bicycle, or pedestrian)?	V	
a. Would the project include temporary delays and detours of traffic?	$\checkmark$	
<b>5.</b> The project will have adverse economic impacts on the regional and/or local economy, such as effects on development, tax revenues and public expenditures, employment opportunities, accessibility, and retail sales.		Ø
6. The project will adversely affect established businesses or business districts.		$\checkmark$
a. Would the project have temporary impacts on businesses?		$\checkmark$
Summary		

Summarize social and economic impacts and mitigation, if any.

The project will not have adverse social or economic impacts to the surrounding community or businesses. During construction, short term traffic delays and interruptions may occur throughout the project but access to businesses and neighborhoods remain open. To minimize delays, the contractor will provide DOT&PF with a traffic control plan prior

11 of 15

Yes

No

 $\mathbf{\nabla}$ 

to construction. In the long term, the project will maintain existing travel patterns and improve accessibility by providing an improved roadway surface and associated features that extend the life of the roadway.

# III. Comments and Coordination

A. Public Involvement	Yes	No
1. Was public involvement for project completed?		
2. Was the project public noticed?	V	
a. Newspaper name and date of notice:		$\checkmark$
<b>b.</b> Alaska Online Public Notice date:	Ø	
9/7/2022		
Attachments		
NFHWY00633 OPN.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf		
c. Were public notices completed for specific resource impacts (e.g., floodplain, Section 4(f))?	$\square$	
Attachments		
NFHWY00633 OPN NFHWY00633 (1).pdf		
3. Was a public meeting held?		Ø
4. Is there any unresolved controversy on human, natural, or economic grounds?		Ø
<b>Summary</b> Summarize public comments and coordination efforts for this project. Discuss pertinent issues raised.		

Due to the limited scope of the project work, public involvement was limited to an OPN given the scope of this project, no public comments were received.

B. <u>Agency Involvement</u>	Yes	No
1. Was an agency scoping conducted?	$\square$	
9/7/2022		
Attachments		
NFHWY00633 Agency Scoping Letter.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf		
2. Was an agency scoping meeting held?		V
3. Was a field review completed with agencies?		V
Summary Summarize agency coordination efforts for this project.		

Agency scoping letters were sent on 9/7/2022. The only comments received during the scoping period were from DEC with no concerns regarding the project. A full comment summary is attached.

## Attachments

• NFHWY00633 Agency Comment Response Summary.pdf NFHWY00633.pdf

# **IV.**Permits and Authorizations

A. <u>Permits and Authorizations</u>	Yes	No
1. USACE, Section 404/10 Includes Abbreviated Permit Process, Nationwide Permit, and General Permit		V
2. Coast Guard, Section 9		
3. ADF&G Fish Habitat Permit (Title 16.05.871 and Title 16.05.841)		$\checkmark$
4. Flood Hazard	$\square$	
5. ADEC Non-domestic Wastewater Plan Approval		Ø
6. Requires 401 Cert		Ø
7. ADEC APDES	$\mathbf{\overline{A}}$	
8. Eagle Permit		Ø
9. Incidental Take Authorization		V
10. Local (Borough or City) permit (e.g., noise)		$\checkmark$
<b>10.</b> Other Permits		$\checkmark$
Summary		

An FNSB Title 15 Floodplain Permit is the only permit that will be required for this project.

State Project Name: FAST Area Surface Upgrades FFY2023 State Project Number: NFHWY00633 Federal Project Number: pending

# V. Environmental Commitments

A. Environmental Commitments and Mitigation Measures [23 CFR 771.109(b)]	Yes	No
1. Are there project-specific environmental commitments for this project?	$\checkmark$	
Summary DOT&PF and their Contractor(s) shall:		
- During construction, the contractor will maintain user access to the Dog Park.		
- The contractor will not be allowed to use the Dog Park parking area as a staging location for the project	et.	

- Mechanized vegetation/land clearing activities will be avoided during the migratory bird nesting season (May 1 - July 15) unless a mitigative work plan is submitted by the contractor and approved by DOT&PF.

# VI. Environmental Documentation Approval

A. <u>Environmental Documentation Approval</u>	Yes	No
1. Do any unusual circumstances exist, as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b)?		V
<b>2.</b> Does the project meet the criteria of one of the following DOT&PF Programmatic Approvals authorized in the Nov. 13, 2017 "Chief Engineer Directive - Programmatic Categorical Exclusions"?	Ø	

• Programmatic Approval 2

Summary

N/A

# **Environmental Documentation Approval Signatures**

Prepared by:

Zoe Petersen Environmental Impact Analyst II

Reviewed by:

John Noter

John J Netardus Engineer/Architect II

14 of 15

Date: 11/7/2022

Date: 11/8/2022

State Project Name: FAST Area Surface Upgrades FFY2023 State Project Number: NFHWY00633 Federal Project Number: pending Approved by:

Brett D Nelon

Date: 11/8/2022

Brett Nelson Regional Environmental Manager

State Project Name: FAST Area Surface Upgrades FFY2023 State Project Number: NFHWY00633 Federal Project Number: pending

15 of 15

CE Documentation Form April 2020