3 Glenn Highway ICM Vision, Goals, and Objectives

3.1 Vision

The vision for the Glenn Highway ICM project was adapted from existing regional and statewide plans. The vision statement is as follows:

Implement an integrated, multimodal system on the Glenn Highway corridor that improves safety and mobility, enhances efficiency and convenience of travel and supports local, regional, and state transportation objectives.

3.2 Goals and Objectives

Table 40 summarizes the goals and objectives. These goals and objectives were adapted for the Glenn Highway ICM project from the Alaska ITS Project Implementation Plan for the Glenn Highway Commuter Corridor and the Alaska Statewide Long-Range Transportation Plan.

Table 40: Glenn Highway Study Area Goals and Objectives

Goals	Objectives		
	Reduce the occurrence of vehicular crashes		
A – Improve Safety	Reduce secondary crashes		
	Reduce vulnerability and increase resiliency of transportation		
	infrastructure from natural hazards and disasters		
	Reduce travel times and delays		
	Improve travel time reliability		
B – Improve Mobility and	Reduce delays due to work-zones and planned special events		
Multimodalism	Promote transit use		
	 Promote environmentally friendly, affordable transportation 		
	solutions		
	Reduce incident response and clearance times		
C – Improve Incident and	 Improve communication and coordination among agencies 		
Emergency Management	and stakeholders		
	 Enhance coordination of regional emergency management 		
	 Expand collection of real-time traffic and weather data 		
	 Improve day-to-day information exchange with regional 		
D – Improve Information Data	operations partners		
Collection and Sharing	 Provide proactive, timely, and accurate information to 		
	travelers		
	 Make traveler information widely available 		

AMATS: Glenn Highway Integrated Corridor Management (ICM) Study CFHWY00289/0A16052 Integrated Corridor Management Study March 2019

3.3 Issues and Needs

This section summarizes the transportation issues and needs for the Glenn Highway corridor. The needs were gathered from the stakeholder input, and existing planning documentation. The issues and needs were then grouped by the corridor goals.

Table 41 to Table 44 summarize the key issues and needs under each goal.

Table 41: Issues and Needs for Goal A – Improve Safety

Issues		Needs	
•	Traveling at posted speeds during adverse and	•	Need to harmonize speeds during incidents
	dynamic weather conditions is often unsafe		and adverse weather conditions.
	and not recommended.	•	Need for infrastructure improvements at
•	The traffic flow at interchanges along the		interchanges to ease merge conditions for
	corridor is not optimal leading to safety issues		travelers entering/exiting the highway.
	and reductions in capacity at the	•	Need to reduce the conditions that can lead
	intersections.		to secondary incidents.
•	Travelers approaching unexpected congestion		
	may not have time to react, resulting in		
	secondary incidents.		

Table 42: Issues and Needs for Goal B – Improve Mobility and Multimodalism

Issues		Needs	
•	Any reduction in capacity due to non-	•	Need to reduce major crashes.
	recurring congestion during peak periods in	•	Need to expand alternate route options.
	the corridor can cause operational	•	Need to improve traffic control flexibility for
	breakdowns throughout the corridor and		intersections along alternate routes (for
	affect travel reliability.		example, alter signal timing) in response to
•	There is a lack of connected alternate routes		changing traffic conditions.
	to balance traffic during congestion and	•	Need to encourage use of alternative mode
	incidents.		options, such as carpooling/vanpooling
•	Alternative transportation modes such as	•	Need to identify, promote, and provide
	transit and carpooling are not well utilized in		additional options for first-mile-last-mile
	the corridor.		challenges.
		•	Need accurate, real-time information for
			transit schedules, current status, and access
			to parking space availability information.

AMATS: Glenn Highway Integrated Corridor Management (ICM) Study CFHWY00289/0A16052

Integrated Corridor Management Study March 2019

Table 43: Issues and Needs for Goal C – Improve Incident and Emergency Management

Issues	Needs	
 Pre-planning and pre-coordination between agencies is not well documented or institutionalized. Emergency vehicles find it difficult to reach the incident scene. Minor incidents remain on the shoulder for several hours. In some cases, this is policy (tow trucks are asked to respond outside of peak hours). In other cases, due to lack of resources (tow trucks may be busy on other calls) Incident clearance times for major incidents can last up to several hours, due to the time it takes to process a crash scene. 	 Need to establish incident response plans, agreements and training among partner agencies that clearly defines goals and collective roles and responsibilities. Need a robust information-exchange capability among emergency responders (fire, police, and other transportation dispatchers) to help manage incidents and coordinate response. Need to improve emergency vehicle access to the incident scene. Need to clear minor incidents in a more safe, efficient, timely and effective manner. Need to clear major incidents in a more safe, efficient, timely and effective manner. Need expanded, real-time detection, status monitoring, and incident verification capabilities for regional stakeholders. 	

Table 44: Issues and Needs for Goal D – Improve Information Data Collection and Sharing

Issues	Needs	
 Data on study corridor highway conditions are not uniformly available to accurately monitor traffic conditions, due to gaps in the technology infrastructure. Transportation operations agencies are often uninformed of the data that other partner agencies have available. Existing communications modes between agencies are not always reliable. Travelers lack on-demand, real-time, multimodal and personalized traveler information. 	 Need expanded, real-time detection, status monitoring, and incident verification capabilities for regional stakeholders. Need for a comprehensive view of available capacity and demand throughout the corridor to allow agencies to better coordinate and manage the corridor. Need broad-based coordination and sharing of information between various public agencies. Need protocols for the Incident Management Team to share information regarding incidents with the public. Need comprehensive corridor-wide traveler information across all modes tailored to the needs of the individual travelers. 	